

Price : #1.50

THE STATES assembled on Tuesday,
30th January, 1990 at 10.15 a.m. under
the Presidency of the Bailiff,
Sir Peter Crill, C.B.E.

All Members were present with the exception
of -

Senator Reginald Robert Jeune - out of
the Island.
Leonard René Hamel, Connétable of St.
Clement - ill.
Francis Hedley Morel, Deputy of St.
Saviour - out of the Island.
Maurice Clement Buesnel, Deputy of St.
Helier - ill.
Derek Ryder Maltwood, Deputy of St.
Mary - out of the Island.

Prayers

Commonwealth Games:
congratulations to the Mallet family

The Bailiff said -

``Members will have learnt with great
pride and immense pleasure of the
outstanding success of the Mallet
family at the Commonwealth Games.
Yesterday I sent a message of
congratulations to them, but I know
that, at this first Sitting of the
States since their achievements, you
would all wish to endorse what I said,
and to enroll formally in the Minutes
of the States our warmest
congratulations."`

The States unanimously concurred with
acclamation.

Subordinate legislation tabled

The following enactments were laid before the States, namely -

1. Court of Appeal (Civil)
(Amendment) (Jersey) Rules, 1990.
R & O 8021.
2. Court of Appeal (Criminal)
(Reference of Points of Law)
(Jersey) Rules 1990. R & O
8022.

Matters noted - land transactions

THE STATES noted an Act of the Finance and Economics Committee dated 22nd January, 1990, showing that in pursuance of Standing Orders relating to certain transactions in land, the Committee had approved -

- (a) as recommended by the Housing Committee the sale to Mr. Denis James Marett and Mrs. Dorothy Anne Marett, née Phillips, of 561 square feet of land on Jardin de la Fontaine, St. Saviour, for a consideration of #561 with each side being responsible for its own legal fees;
- (b) as recommended by the Housing Committee the grant of rights to Mr. Denis James Marett and Mrs. Dorothy Anne Marett, née Phillips, over a strip of land measuring 12 feet wide on Jardin de la Fontaine, St. Saviour, required for a vehicular access and the granting of servitudes, for a consideration of #1,000, with each side being responsible for its own legal fees;
- (c) as recommended by the Housing Committee the purchase from The Regent Hotel Holdings, of all rights in the party wall running north and south between Norman's Timber Yard and 20/24

Pier Road, St. Helier for a consideration of #10, with each side being responsible for its own legal fees;

(d) as recommended by the Housing Committee the sale to The Regent Hotel Holdings of all rights in the party wall running to the east and the west between Bas du Mont Flats, Le Clos du Fort and 20/24 Pier Road, St. Helier, for a consideration of #10 for each transaction, with each side being responsible for its own legal fees;

(e) as recommended by the Housing Committee the granting to The Jersey Electricity Company Limited, free of charge, of servitudes required for high tension cables at 12 to 20 Dorset Street, St. Helier, with each side being responsible for its own legal fees;

(f) as recommended by the Housing Committee the sale to the Parish of St. Peter of the roads and landscaped areas on La Grande Pièce, St. Peter, as outlined in Drawing No. 2257/806, for a nominal consideration of #1, with each side being responsible for its own legal fees;

(g) as recommended by the Housing Committee the granting to the Jersey Electricity Company Limited of any wayleaves required for high tension cables, to connect the property Velsheda Cottage, High Street, St. Aubin, St. Brelade, owned by Miss Jane Alexandra Cousins across its land at Le Jardin du Crocquet, for the consideration of #500 with Miss Cousins being responsible for the payment of all legal fees; (The Committee rescinded its Act No. 3(d) of 18th September, 1989, which was notified to the States on 26th September, 1989)

(h) as recommended by the

Housing Committee the granting of a wayleave to Miss Jane Alexandra Cousins to lay a water pipe across its land at Le Jardin du Crocquet to service her property, Velsheda Cottage, High Street, St. Aubin, St. Brelade, for the consideration of #500, with Miss Cousins being responsible for the payment of all legal fees;
(The Committee rescinded its Act No. 3(e) of 18th September, 1989, which was notified to the States on 26th September, 1989)

(i) as recommended by the Housing Committee, with the support of the Island Development Committee, the purchase from North Jersey Construction Limited, of five newly constructed one-bedroom flats at the site of Pierson Cottage, Springfield Lane, St. Helier, for a sum of #400,000, with each side being responsible for the payment of its own legal fees;

(j) as recommended by the Public Health Committee the renewal of the lease to Mr. Barry Clement Rondel of Field 1547 Westmount, St. Helier, for a period of one year from 1st January 1990 at a rent of #60 a year;

(k) as recommended by the Public Health Committee the lease to Mr. Derrick Gordon Le Cornu of Fields 34 and 37, Grouville, for a period of nine years commencing 25th December 1989, at a rent of #1,760 a year, with three yearly rent reviews;

(l) as recommended by the Public Health Committee, the lease from R.A. Porée Limited of a one-bedroomed flat at 29, Midvale Road, St. Helier, for a period of two years from 1st January, 1990, at a rent of #90 a week, with a cost of living rise at the end of the first year and annual reviews thereafter, required for occupation by hospital staff;

(m) as recommended by the Harbours and Airport Committee the granting to The Jersey Electricity Company Limited of a contract wayleave in perpetuity to allow the company to lay services to connect the weather radar tower at La Moye on the payment of a nominal sum of #10 with each party being responsible for its own legal fees;

(n) as recommended by the Establishment Committee, the leasing from Mrs. Olivia Cumming Butler, née Harris, of Flat 2, Elliston House, The Bulwarks, St. Aubin, for a further period of one year, with effect from 1st December, 1989, at an annual rent of #7,750, required for occupation by an essential employee appointed on a contract basis.

Matters noted - financial transactions

THE STATES noted Acts of the Finance and Economics Committee dated 2nd October and 11th December, 1989 and 22nd January, 1990 showing that in pursuance of Rule 5 of the Public Finances (General) (Jersey) Rules, 1967, as amended, the Committee had noted that -

(a) the Housing Committee had accepted the lowest of four tenders, namely that submitted by Ritchie's Equipment Limited in the sum of #623,441 in a contract period of 26 weeks for the stabilisation of the rock face at Clos du Fort, St. Helier;

(b) the Housing Committee had accepted the lowest of six tenders, namely that submitted by R.J. Wilkinson Limited in the sum of #205,279 in a contract period of 26 weeks for the conversion of No. 17 Trinity Road, St. Helier to provide 3 one-bedroomed and 1 bedsitter units of accommodation;

(c) the Housing Committee had accepted the lowest of six tenders, namely that submitted by Trio Construction Limited in the sum of #1,340,032 in a contract period of 52 weeks for the development of 14 two-bedroomed (4 person) flats and 8 two-bedroomed (3 person) flats at Le Clos de Felard, St. Lawrence;

(d) the Public Health Committee had accepted the lowest of five tenders, namely that submitted by D.C. Allen Limited in the sum of #224,469.54 in a contract period of 52 weeks for the extension of the Ambulance Station, Rouge Bouillon, St. Helier;

(e) the Harbours and Airport Committee had accepted the lowest of three tenders, namely that submitted by B. & C. Contractors Limited in the sum of #88,895.47 in a contract period from 2nd January to 7th April, 1990 for the extension to Gate Lounge 14 in the Airport Passenger Pier.

Matters lodged

The following subjects were lodged
"au Greffe" -

1. Draft Health and Safety at Work (Inquiries Procedure) (Jersey) Regulations 199 . P.12/90.
Presented by the Social Security Committee. The States decided to take this subject into consideration on 13th February, 1990.
2. Queen's Road/Rouge Bouillon junction: roundabout. P.13/90.
Presented by the Island Development Committee. The States decided to take this subject into consideration on 27th February, 1990.
3. Field 232, St. John: rezoning for

residential development. P.14/90.
Presented by the Island
Development Committee. The States
decided to take this subject into
consideration on 13th February,
1990.

4. Draft Public Finances
(Administration) (Amendment No. 5)
(Jersey) Law, 199 . P.15/90.
Presented by the Finance and
Economics Committee. The States
decided to take this subject into
consideration on 27th February,
1990.

5. Dental benefit and services:
introduction. P.16/90.
Presented by the Social Security
Committee.

Government House: petition
regarding restoration. P.17/90

Deputy Henry George Coutanche of
St. Lawrence presented to the States on
behalf of Marcus Binney and others a
petition regarding the restoration and
modernisation of Government House (P.8/90).

Island Development Committee:
Island Plan and development of sites.
Questions and answers

Deputy Henri Léon Dubras
of St. Martin asked the Connétable of St.
John, President of the Island Development
Committee, the following questions -

Question 1

``Acknowledging that the Island
Development Committee has to work
within the constraints determined by
the Island Plan and the presumptions
expressed therein, would the President
not agree that there are a number of
sites within the broadly defined
Agricultural Priority Zone, many of
which are in existing hamlets, which
could well be considered for
reasonable development?''

The President of the Island Development

replied as follows -

``The main constraints of the Island Plan which affect development in the rural areas are the land use and building restriction zones and the policies which limit new drainage installations. The restriction zone referred to in the question - the Agricultural Priority Zone - covers areas of existing development as well as open farmland. In the case of undeveloped sites within groups of buildings - hamlets is a good description - the Committee refers to Island Plan Policies CO6, CO7 and CO8.

There is a general presumption against development which is `non agricultural'. Farm buildings and new homes for those who farm are often approved and, where there is mains drainage, the Committee already uses its discretion in assessing the merits of non-agricultural development proposals, particularly where they are for genuine infilling in an existing group of buildings.

My Committee is also sympathetic to the conversion of buildings which are worthy of retention to residential use, although the conversion or replacement of old storage sheds is not usually acceptable.

All new housing should, if possible, have mains water supply and sewage disposal. The maps in Chapter 8 of Volume 1 of the Island Plan show those areas of the Island which are served. Policy SE 4 is worth quoting -

`Permission will not normally be given for a new development which relies on septic tanks and soakaways or private sewage treatment plants.'

The Committee reviewed this policy last year and resolved to continue administering it firmly after receiving advice from the Medical Officer of Health, Resources Recovery Board and Jersey New Waterworks Company Limited."

Supplementary questions and answers

Senator B. Brooke - ``In the answer in the case of undeveloped sites within groups of buildings, hamlets a good description, the Committee refers to Island Plan Policy CO6, CO7 and CO8, as we have not got these to hand is his following explanation a summary of CO6, CO7 and CO8?"

President, Island Development Committee - ``It is."

Senator T.J. Le Main - ``In view of having received a letter from his department on the question of Policy SE 4 will the President give me an assurance that they will relook at this policy in view of my letter to the department?"

President, Island Development Committee - ``The Senator's letter came after my Committee gave very serious consideration to the introduction of private sewage treatment plants, but before it decided to maintain its policy it had long discussions with the Public Health Committee, and in particular the Medical Officer of Health, the Resources Recovery Board and the Jersey New Waterworks Company Limited and it was quite obvious from the advice that we were getting from those very concerned bodies that this form of sewage treatment is not acceptable to the Island and wishing to at all times protect the health of the population of this Island the Committee remains firmly against the introduction of dwellings without adequate public sewage."

Deputy R.E.R. Rumboll - ``If it could be shown to the Committee and indeed to the House that tight sewerage treatment plant were not a health hazard and that they could be controlled, would the Committee then perhaps reconsider the policy or indeed would the Committee accept that maybe a proposition could be brought to the House to amend the policy to

allow such developments, because after all it is, and I wonder if the President would not agree, in terms of planning the residential use of Island properties there is a major resource within these areas which unfortunately are not capable of being connected to the public sewerage system for some time, but nevertheless would greatly assist the provision of housing units within established hamlet areas, and I wonder, therefore, would the President accept that if it can be shown that from a health point of view which is the aspect the President emphasised a moment ago, if it can be shown that there is no health hazard in establishing an integrated tight sewerage system, then that the policy might be relaxed somewhat in the future?"

President, Island Development Committee - "I think Deputy Rumboll's question will be partly answered in the next question and answer, but I would again assure him that everything has been considered and basically the efforts of my Committee is to find land and to find sites for Category A housing for those in need and most of the applications that come before the Committee for the relaxation of our SE 4 policies are to do with the development of beautiful old granite buildings which are either let or sold at very high prices. It does nothing at all for the Category A housing that is so badly needed on this Island. Then we go on to the other part of his question which was the subject of tight tanks. Tight tanks are fine if they can be assured that they will not leak but concrete has got a habit of cracking and leaking and then of course there is always the fear that tight tanks will fill and overflow and therefore pollute the soil still further, and it will need great professional advice for my Committee to alter its stance on that subject."

Senator J.S. Rothwell - "Apart from this question of health grounds raised by Deputy Rumboll, which is an important one of course, would the

President agree that the very strict imposition of this part of the planning law will very, very seriously inhibit the creation of solving of the housing problems, especially as one considers that vast areas of this Island are not served by main drains?"

President, Island Development Committee - ``We come back again to the provision of housing. Our serious housing problem is not in the Category B sector, the expensive house is not a problem. What is a housing problem to the Island of Jersey is the provision of houses for first-time buyers and young couples who want to set up in their own homes to start off their life. That is the important thing about the future of finding housing."

Senator Le Main - ``Is it not correct, can't the President inform this House that there are many sites which would suit Category A housing and would he not agree that one of the objections has been that the tanker system at the Resources Recovery Board is overburdened and overladen and they can't cope. Would he consider, the President of the Island Development Committee, that subject to the Resources Recovery Board's agreeing that a proper tight system with perhaps private contractors being able to help the burden that the Resources Recovery Board have got and discharge into the system, would they not consider the Island Development Committee, if the permission were granted on health and safety grounds by the Resources Recovery Board? The other point, would the President not agree that there is sewage already going out into the roads from large areas which could be resolved with a system like this?"

President, Island Development Committee - ``If the Senator is correct and I doubt his wild statement, if he is correct we would only be compounding the situation by allowing development where there are not main sewerage facilities. We have

at all times, as I said in my first answer to Deputy Dubras, we have at all times taken professional advice and that professional advice comes out strongly against any relaxation in our policies. I cannot say more, and if the Senator wishes to ask me some further questions, perhaps next week, well then I will be delighted to answer them."

Senator P.F. Horsfall - ``In the second paragraph of the answer the President gives hope for genuine infilling of an existing group of buildings. In the following paragraph he also gives hope for the sympathetic conversion of buildings which are worthy of retention to residential use. There is a third category of building and I know some exist like this, whereby it is in residential use but it is not possible to modernise, the building is decaying and falling down, so that would be in fact a replacement of a residentially used building which is not mentioned in the reply, would the President assure me that these are also given sympathetic consideration, or as the impression obtained is do they just simply have to decay until they fall down?"

President, Island Development Committee - ``We give every application due consideration and we are always working with the wish and the hope that any development can take place subject to the policies of the Island Plan and the Island Planning Law."

Deputy P.J. Le Masurier - ``Would the President of the Island Development Committee agree that if more Category B houses were made available occupants and owners of Category A houses who, as they get older and their finances improve, would step up the ladder thus releasing more Category A houses for first-time buyers?"

President, Island Development Committee - ``I cannot answer that question fully because I have no facts before me, but people who move up from

Category A housing into these converted buildings that Deputy Le Masurier is talking about unfortunately the house that they vacate, their Category A housing, or their first-time buyer house that they vacate is not sold at Category A prices which would enable a young couple to buy it. Those houses are sold at its maximum price that desperate people will pay. But basically they are Category A housing and it does nothing at all for the problem of housing shortage."

Deputy Dubras

Question 2

"`Appreciating the problems which can be anticipated from the creation of precedents, but having regard to the considerable housing shortage, would the President undertake that his Committee will aim, seeking if necessary the support of the House, to establish a flexibility in its decision making which appears not to exist at present?"

President, Island Development Committee

"`The Deputy will appreciate that my Committee is particularly aware of, and concerned for, the serious shortage of housing for young first-time buyers. However, I would point out that the contribution to the Island's Category A housing problem made by the construction of individual houses in countryside locations is extremely small. By their very nature such houses have a market value far in excess of the Category A limit, and applications for such development are rarely made by persons that would otherwise be dependent on the States' Loan sector.

I would draw the Deputy's attention to the `pepper-potting' effect that this type of development has had over the years and would advise him of my Committee's concern that the urbanisation of the countryside is totally contrary to the Island's

planning objectives. In my view, this type of development, cumulatively, has done more to spoil Jersey's countryside than allowing single fields to be developed on the edge of the built-up areas for Category A housing.

If I may return to the Deputy's choice of the word hamlet in question 1. May I point out that groups of buildings have a tendency to become hamlets and hamlets become small villages and these have a habit of becoming large villages with the result that over the years the countryside becomes urbanised. If we are to protect the countryside for future generations we have to be very firm in applying our policies consistently.

The Committee will continue to apply the Island Plan policies with flexibility and discretion but not at the expense of putting the health of the islanders at risk or spoiling the appearance of the countryside."

Supplementary question and answer

Deputy H.A. Vandervliet - "I agree with practically everything the President has said but there is one thing which I would like a little more flexibility than it is at present. There are occasions when people apply to extend to build a flat onto their existing house to house a young couple who would be on our housing list and I admit that there are not hundreds of them but there are a few and could I ask the President to reconsider the position and see if he can't exercise a little more flexibility when people are trying to house their children?"

President, Island Development Committee - "I am happy to so do and I would have thought that that question that was put forward by Deputy Vandervliet was generated from a certain residence at St. Brelade. My Committee went to see that site on two occasions and in no way, I accept that the President of Housing wished to house this young couple but in no way

in planning terms could my Committee have supported that application but we were supportive of the wish of the President of Housing to find accommodation for that young couple and we went on two occasions to try to assist, but it came out loud and clear that it would have been a wrong decision of my Committee to allow that development."

Les Quennevais community swimming pool. Question and answer

Deputy Graham Huelin of St. Brelade asked Deputy John Le Gallais of St. Saviour, Vice-President of the Policy and Resources Committee the following question -

``In view of the decision of the States on 30th May 1989 authorising the preparation of detailed proposals for a community swimming pool at Les Quennevais, will the President confirm that the States will be given an opportunity of considering the implications of the project and deciding thereon?"

The Vice-President of the Policy and Resources Committee replied as follows -

``The answer is yes.

I must make it clear that the Policy and Resources Committee has not taken a decision with regard to priorities of capital expenditure for 1991.

The States on 24th January 1989, approved the terms of reference of the Policy and Resources Committee and agreed that one of the responsibilities of that Committee would be to ensure the overall co-ordination of strategic policies and objectives and to recommend to the States relative priorities in the use of money and/or manpower resources.

The Committee invited Presidents to a meeting on 10th January 1990, when consideration commenced on the priorities of capital expenditure for

1991. The requests for that year totalled over #108 million and it was explained to the Presidents that there was a need to omit a significant number of projects from the 1991 capital programme in order to limit the pressure on the economy arising from the level of building and civil engineering work. Unless the capital programme for 1991 is adjusted, it will not be possible to meet the objectives agreed by the States during the debate on the Committee's report and proposition 'Population control - future strategy' on 5th December 1989. Following discussions with the Presidents some reductions were agreed subject, of course, to States' approval. A further meeting is to be held with Presidents next month to consider further the relative priorities of the individual capital requests. The Policy and Resources Committee will then present to the States its recommendations for priorities for the capital programme for 1991 and the States will make the final decision."

Airport: renewal of lease of accommodation

THE STATES adopting a Proposition of the Harbours and Airport Committee -

- (a) approved the renewal of the lease to British Midland Airways Limited of Lettings B9, B90A, B, C, D, B172, B173, B174, B175 and B173A at the Airport for a period of three years from 1st April, 1990, at an annual rent of #49,614.80, with a rent review on 1st April of each year of the lease, linked to the Jersey Cost of Living Index;
- (b) authorised the Greffier of the States to sign the necessary agreement with the Company; and
- (c) authorised the Treasurer of the States to receive the payments as they become due.

Port of St. Helier: lease of accommodation at Elizabeth Harbour terminal building

THE STATES, adopting a Proposition of the Harbours and Airport Committee -

- (a) approved the lease to British Channel Island Ferries of Lettings E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6 and E7 in the Elizabeth Harbour terminal building for a period of nine years, commencing 1st November, 1989, at an annual rent of #16,260, based on an area of 1,626 square feet at #10.00 per square foot; the rent to be increased annually on 1st November each year in line with the Jersey Cost of Living Index increase over the previous twelve month period ending the quarter September;
- (b) authorised the Greffier of the States to sign the necessary agreement with the Company; and
- (c) authorised the Treasurer of the States to receive the payments as they become due.

Victoria Cottage Homes: extensions and renovation of Block `J'

THE STATES, adopting a Proposition of the Cottage Homes Committee -

- (a) approved Drawings Nos. 2822/14B, 2822/31, 2822/32, 2822/33 and 2822/34 showing extensions to and the renovation of Block `J', Victoria Cottage Homes, St. Saviour;
- (b) authorised the Greffier of the States to sign the said Drawings on behalf of the States.

Court of Appeal (Remuneration of Ordinary Judges) (Jersey) Act 1990

THE STATES, in pursuance of Article 6 of the Court of Appeal (Jersey)

Law 1961, as amended, made an Act entitled the Court of Appeal (Remuneration of Ordinary Judges) (Jersey) Act 1990.

Court of Appeal (Remuneration of Commissioners) (Jersey) Act 1990

THE STATES, in pursuance of Article 10A of the Royal Court (Jersey) Law 1948, as amended, made an Act entitled the Court of Appeal (Remuneration of Commissioners) (Jersey) Act 1990.

Greville Bathe Fund: appointment of Trustee

THE STATES, adopting a Proposition of the Finance and Economics Committee, approved the appointment of Jurat Charles Leonard Gruchy as a Trustee for the purpose of administering the income of the Greville Bathe Fund in place of the late Jurat Peter Gorton Baker.

Public Employees Contributory Retirement Scheme: Committee of Management

THE STATES, adopting a Proposition of the Establishment Committee, approved, in accordance with Regulation 3(2) of the Public Employees (Contributory Retirement Scheme) (General) (Jersey) Regulations, 1989, the appointment of the Committee of Management, for the period ending 31st December, 1991, as follows -

EMPLOYER REPRESENTATIVES

Senator Corrie Stein
Deputy T.J. Jordan
Chief Executive Officer, States'
Personnel Department

Senator R.R. Jeune, O.B.E.,
Senator P.F. Horsfall
Treasurer of the States

MEMBER REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Barrie Tucker
Mr. J.H. Lees
representing the Jersey Civil Service

Mr. M.B. Kavanagh
Mr. M. McNulty
representing those members whose
conditions of service are regulated by
the Manual Workers' Joint Council

Mr. F.A. Greene
representing the Category A/Members
(i.e. a member of the Uniformed
Services or an Air Traffic Control
Officer)

Mr. M.D. Orbell
representing retired members.

Regulation of Undertakings and
Development (Amendment No. 4) (Jersey)
Regulations 1990. P.3/90

THE STATES, in pursuance of
Article 2 of the Regulation of Undertakings
and Development (Jersey) Law 1973, as
amended, made Regulations entitled the
Regulation of Undertakings and Development
(Amendment No. 4) (Jersey) Regulations
1990.

Milk (Sale to Special Classes)
(Amendment) (Jersey) Regulations 1990.
P.4/90

THE STATES, by virtue and in
exercise of the powers conferred upon them
by the Order in Council of the fourteenth
day of April, 1884, made Regulations
entitled the Milk (Sale to Special Classes)
(Amendment) (Jersey) Regulations 1990.

Le Squez School: housing
accommodation. P.5/90

THE STATES, adopting a Proposition
of the Education Committee, agreed to amend
their Act of 25th June, 1986 and approved
in principle the construction of two
residential properties at Le Squez School
instead of one each at Le Rocquier and
Grainville Schools.

St. Ouen's Bay sand extraction.
P.6/90

THE STATES commenced consideration of a Proposition of the Island Development Committee regarding sand extraction at St. Ouen's Bay. After discussion the President of the Committee agreed to take back the Proposition for further consideration.

The Connétable of St. Ouen and the Connétable of St. Brelade, having declared an interest in the matter, withdrew from the Chamber prior to the debate.

Second Report: States Sittings and planning of Public Business. P.7/90

THE STATES, adopting a Proposition of the House Committee, agreed -

(1) that, from 1st February until 30th June, 1990 -

(a) the States should, as far as practicable, sit for a full day on alternate Tuesdays, beginning on Tuesday, 13th February;

(b) the sittings of the States should normally be from 9.30 a.m. to 12.45 p.m. and from 2.30 p.m. to approximately 5.30 p.m.;

(2) to suspend Standing Order Nos. 4 (1) and 4 (4) from 1st February until 30th June, 1990 to give effect to the foregoing decisions;

(3) that the Greffier of the States should be requested, in consultation with the Bailiff, Presidents and other Members concerned, to plan the public business of the States on the lines described in the House Committee's report dated 9th January, 1990.

Government House: rescission of States Acts and designation as site of special interest. P.8/90

THE STATES commenced consideration of a Proposition of the Island Development Committee regarding Government House. After discussion, the Committee withdrew paragraphs (b) and (c) and the States, adopting paragraph (a), rescinded their Acts of 13th December, 1988 and 20th June, 1989 regarding the construction of a new house, and the scale of accommodation thereof, for His Excellency the Lieutenant Governor.

Members present voted for paragraph (a) as follows -

``Pour" (37)

Senators

Shenton, Binnington, Horsfall, Ellis, Baal, Rothwell, Le Main, Brooke, Le Maistre, Carter, Stein.

Connétables

St. John, St. Peter, St. Lawrence, St. Ouen, St. Brelade, Trinity, St. Martin.

Deputies

de la Haye(H), Trinity, R. Rumboll(H), Beadle(B), Wavell(H), Blampied(H), Norman(C), St. John, St. Peter, St. Martin, Baudains(C), C. Rumboll(H), Le Sueur(H), Coutanche(L), Huelin(B), Jordan(B), Bailhache(H), Rabet(H), Baudains(H).

``Contre" (8)

Connétables

St. Helier, St. Mary.

Deputies

Le Gallais(S), Roche(S), Vandervliet(L), Billot(S), St. Ouen, Grouville.

THE STATES rose at 5.20 p.m.

E.J.M. POTTER

Greffier of the States.